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Readily accessible "chiral aldehyde" equivalents play an important role in organic synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ Enantiopure 4-aryloxybutenolides are readily available using a palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation (AAA) in a dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation ${ }^{2}$ as shown in eq 1 . In the previous examples, the aryloxy group acted

as a tether and was incorporated into the final product. ${ }^{3}$ A significant aspect of the synthetic utility of $\gamma$-aryloxy or $\gamma$-alkoxybutenolides relies on the ability to transfer the chirality from the $\gamma$-alkoxy center to the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ carbons in intermolecular reactions. ${ }^{4}$ We became intrigued by this possibility in conjunction with our studies of enantioselectivity of cycloadditions of trimethylenemethane-palladium complexes $\left(\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}\right)$ for asymmetric syntheses of cyclopentanoids. Asymmetric catalysis of this cycloaddition as well as using chiral auxiliaries on the acceptor has proven difficult. ${ }^{5}$ In this work, we report the utility of the chiral acetal 3 for asymmetric cycloadditions, notably those involving $\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$.

Previous reports have demonstrated the effectiveness of 5-men-thyloxy-2-(5H)-furanone 5 in controlling diastereoselectivity of 1,3dipolar and Diels-Alder cycloadditions. ${ }^{6}$ For comparison, we examined an example of each as shown in Scheme 1. In both cases, as with $\mathbf{5}$, facial selectivity of the cycloaddition as well as regioselectivity in the case of the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition was complete to give adducts 6 and 7. The yields were excellent, significantly higher in the case of $\mathbf{3}$ compared to 5 for the DielsAlder reaction. ${ }^{6}$ While we performed the Diels-Alder reaction in a normal thermal fashion $\left(150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%\right)$, nearly quantitative yields were obtained using a microwave at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(60 \mathrm{~min})$ or 165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (20 min).

These results prompted us to examine the regio- and diastereoselectivity of cycloadditions via $\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}{ }^{7}$ (eq 2). As sum-

marized in Table 1, a range of TMM precursors $(\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{8 d})$ were examined. All reacted well and gave complete control of regio-

[^0]Scheme 1. Cycloaddition Reactions with 4-Naphthoxybutenolide ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) Ethyl diazoacetate, THF, $\Delta$ ( $94 \%$ ). (b) 1,3-cyclohexadiene, microwave.

Table 1. TMM Cycloadditions to 4-Naphthoxybutenolide

| entry | $\mathrm{R}=$ | conditions | yield | $\mathrm{dra}^{a}(\mathrm{epi})^{b}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | H | Toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 93 | $>98 / 2$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ |  | THF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 93 | $>98 / 2$ |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{CN}^{c}$ | $\mathrm{THF}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 94 | $5.5 / 1$ |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{2}$ |  | Toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | 91 | $94 / 6$ |
| c | Me | Toluene $, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ | 60 | $>98 / 2(1 / 1)$ |
| d | Ph | Toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | 79 | $>98 / 2(4 / 1)$ |

[^1]selectivity and excellent facial selectivity. In the case of the cyanosubstituted TMM reaction (entry b), the exocyclic double bond of the initial product isomerized to the endocyclic position. The solvent effect on the facial selectivity in this case is also noteworthy, that is, higher diastereoselectivity in toluene than THF even though the former is at considerably higher temperature. While the epimeric ratio with respect to the methyl group in entry c was $1: 1$, the ratio in the phenyl case increased to $4: 1$ (entry d).

The utility of the chiral aldehyde equivalent in the $\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ cycloaddition is demonstrated in the access it gives to a wide range of cyclopentane-containing natural products. Interest in the biological properties of $(+)$-brefeldin A $\mathbf{2 5},{ }^{8}$ which continues to grow significantly, ${ }^{9}$ provides a continuing stimulation for new synthetic strategies. ${ }^{10}$ The chemistry reported herein provides a new opportunity to enhance the efficiency of a synthesis of this significant target.

As shown in Scheme 2, the TMM cycloadduct 9a was transformed to ketone $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $84 \%$ yield, utilizing a one-pot dihydroxy-lation-oxidative cleavage protocol. ${ }^{11}$ Ketone 10 was chemo- and diastereoselectively ( $\mathrm{dr} 96: 4$ ) reduced to alcohol 11 by the method of Yamamoto. ${ }^{12}$ Without purification, the alcohol is silyl-protected to afford intermediate 12 in $74 \%$ yield (two steps.) Using a Merck protocol, ${ }^{13}$ the lactone 12 is opened to the Weinreb amide/aldehyde, liberated 2-naphthol is removed ( $94 \%$ recovered), and the aldehyde is epimerized to afford the functionalized core 13 in $72 \%$ yield (two steps).

Introduction of the upper side chain envisioned use of a new protocol for formation of trans-alkenes from alkynes. Addition of the lithium anion of ethyl propiolate to the core 13 in 5/1 THF/

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the (+)-Brefeldin A Core ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, 5 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (84\%). (b) DIBAL-H, B. H. T., Toluene ( $>96 / 4 \mathrm{dr}$ ).(c) TBDMSOTf, pyridine ( $74 \%$, two Steps). (d) ( $\left.{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{MgCl}$, $\mathrm{MeO}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (e) $\mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $72 \%$, two Steps). (f) $\mathrm{LiCCCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{HMPA}=5 / 1,-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(>6: 1 \mathrm{dr}, 88 \%)$. (g) $(\mathrm{EtO})_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$, $1 \% \mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(92 \%)$. (h) TBDMSOTf, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. (i) DIBAL-H, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(81 \%$, two Steps).


${ }^{a} p$-Methoxyphenol, $0.25 \% \quad \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0.75 \%$ ligand 4, toluene ( $>96 / 4$ regio., up to $90 \%$ ee, $95 \%$ ). (b) $9-\mathrm{BBN}$, THF, then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, aq NaOH . (c) $\mathrm{PCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, Celite, $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}$ then $\mathrm{EtO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $65 \%$ two steps). (d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 5 \% \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (e) DIBAL-H, THF ( $92 \%$, two steps). (f) DIAD, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, 1-phenyl-5-thioltetrazole, THF. (g) Oxone, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $88 \%$, two steps).

HMPA at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded propargyl alcohol 14 in 6:1 dr ( $88 \%$ yield) with Felkin-Ahn control, whereas the epimer was also available in $1: 6 \mathrm{dr}$ ( $92 \%$ yield) by simply using DME, presumably under chelation control. Exposing this adduct $\mathbf{1 4}$ to rutheniumcatalyzed trans-hydrosilylation ${ }^{14}$ followed by addition of cesium fluoride and ethanol gave enoate $\mathbf{1 5}$ directly in one step in $92 \%$ yield. Thus, the upper side chain was introduced in two steps, and this sequence constitutes a convenient and general entry to $\gamma$-hydroxy- $\alpha, \beta$-trans-enoates. After silyl protection, the amide $\mathbf{1 6}$ was chemoselectively reduced to aldehyde 17 in $81 \%$ yield in the presence of the enoate by utilizing one equivalent of DIBAL-H.

Scheme 3 summarizes the synthesis of the lower side chain. An enantio- and regioselective palladium-catalyzed AAA of crotyl carbonate $\mathbf{1 8}$ afforded the $C(4)$ fragment 19 with 96:4 regioselectivity and $90 \%$ ee in $93 \%$ yield. ${ }^{15}$ Olefin 19 was transformed to enoate $\mathbf{2 0}$ via hydroboration-oxidation followed by one-pot oxidation to the aldehyde and in situ Wittig reaction with an overall yield of $65 \%$. Nickel dichloride-catalyzed sodium borohydride 1,4reduction followed by DIBAL-H reduction converted the enoate 20 directly to saturated alcohol 21 in $92 \%$ yield (two steps). Completion of the sulfone component 22 involved Mitsonobu coupling of 1-phenyl-5-thioltetrazole followed by Oxone oxidation ${ }^{16}$ in $88 \%$ yield.

Julia olefination proved nontrivial. Optimization involved use of KHMDS in DME at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereby a $12: 1 E: Z$ selectivity for formation of alkene $\mathbf{2 3}$ was obtained (see Scheme 4). Completion of the synthesis proceeded uneventfully and employed the Yamaguchi method for macrolactonization. ${ }^{17}$

Scheme 4. Completion of the Total Synthesis ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) KHMDS, DME, then $\mathbf{1 7}$ to $\mathrm{rt}(E / Z>12 / 1,81 \%$ ). (b) C.A.N., 4: $1=$ acetone: water. (c) 1 N aq NaOH , THF, MeOH ( $76 \%$, two steps). (d) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 2,4,6$-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, xs. 4-DMAP, toluene, $\Delta$ ( $61 \%$ ). (e) TBAF, THF, rt (77\%).

The spectral data as well as the sign and magnitude of rotation matched those of an authentic sample of $(+)$-brefeldin A. Thus, $(+)$-brefeldin A is available in a convergent fashion from three components: aldehyde-amide 13, ethyl propiolate, and sulfone 22. All of the stereochemistry evolves from two palladium-catalyzed AAA reactions. The effectiveness of the introduction of the upper side chain via the new methodology described which achieves a clean chemoselective reduction of an alkyne to a trans-alkene is noteworthy. This work demonstrates the utility of $\mathbf{3}$, which is available via a catalytic asymmetric route, as a "chiral aldehyde" equivalent, especially in $\mathrm{TMM}-\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ cycloadditions.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ All diastereomeric ratios are of the crude mixture. All diastereomers were separable by column chromatography. ${ }^{b}$ Chiral center substituted with R group. ${ }^{c}$ Olefin isomerized into conjugation with the nitrile.

